

# the innis herald newsletter

Vol. XIX No. 3 1/2

Innis College - University of Toronto

December 1985

## SPECIAL ABRIDGED EDITION



### SIRJE'S SUNSHINE PERSON

Sirje's "Pick" of the Month

Our principal feature this month is Santa Claus, who's showing off his fine form early this season. Santa is an avid sportsman who is always in for a good match of penguin wrestling, and on those

especially cold days he can be found playing "Pin the nose on the Reindeer" with a slew of little bearded men (sorry Dennis). I know that I'll be waiting up this Christmas Eve with my Kaluha and cookies by the chimney.

### LAUTENS LEAVES

by David Young

For the second time this year Innis is without a social commissioner.

Richard Lautens, who filled the position late in September, resigned in late October citing an increasing academic workload as the main reason.

"At the beginning of the year I wasn't sure if I would have the time required by the position," said Lautens, a third year history major, "then after the halloween party I decided that I had to resign the position or my marks would drop."

Upon the resignation the I.C.S.S. quickly acquired the help of Simon Cotter to run the social events until a new Commissioner could be found.

Cotter quickly set up committees to

take up where Richard left off, in an effort to delegate the responsibility, much as he did last year as social commissioner. The committees will now be headed by Anna Marie Batelon and Helen Routh (Formal), Gilles Poitras (Variety Night), and Jim Sheddin and Martha MacEachern (dances).

Aside from the loss of one of the Comedy Nights which was scheduled for the first term, Cotter explained that minimal damage was done by Richard's resignation.

Meanwhile, the I.C.S.S. has put up a notice in the hopes of quickly filling the position. Some parties have expressed an interest, and the position will be filled by appointment at the next student affairs meeting.

## DEMOCRACY, ... FINALLY.

ICSS Report

by Andrew Liebmann

The most recent Student Affairs meeting was almost entirely taken up by heated debate on one issue: funding for the Women's Centre.

Much of the controversy surrounding this topic is related to the requirements of the Centre's "Collective" (policy setting body) for membership. While both men and women are welcome to take part in the centre, and in all services and projects, only women who have demonstrated that they are committed to the centre (by attending three out of four consecutive meetings and doing a specified amount of work) are eligible to vote on the collective.

The stipulation that only women be voting members is what many of the ICSS members objected to. At the beginning of the meeting Mike Zryd's motion that we give \$500 to the Women's Centre to help cover their start-up costs seemed doomed to be defeated. After vigorous and persuasive debate (both for and against the motion) enough people had been swayed to have the motion pass by a small but clear margin.

President Art Wilson was one of the people who shifted their attitudes during the meeting. He explains that, "I [as a man] can stand on the outside and try to give an objective opinion, but the real crux of the thing is what women want to do. Once that is decided, everyone is invited to contribute."

Wilson says that he is happy that the issue was given the serious debate it deserves but adds that, "It's regrettable that

the meeting couldn't have remained objective, but it is unreasonable to expect that tempers would not flare over an issue like this."

One of the more vocal opponents of the motion was Simon Cotter, who says that, despite the outcome, "It was definitely the best meeting of the year, because everyone spoke, and everyone spoke passionately." Cotter sums up his objections to the Centre by saying that "I don't think that you promote equality by creating inequality."

Considering the future of the Women's Centre, he told the *Herald* that, "a big problem with the centre is going to be perception. Because of this [voting eligibility] rule people may see them as being extremist, some women already do, and then they won't go there...but I hope I'm wrong on this one."

After Paula Roachmann (Women's Centre spokesperson) had finished answering questions from the floor, Mike Zryd became one of the most ardent supporters of his motion, which withstood postponement, and a proposed amendment to give the money only if men were made eligible to vote.

Says Zryd, "I'm tired of the myth that 'women are out to control the world.' The Women's Centre was set by women for women to help themselves. Surely women are the best qualified to judge [what are important women's issues]. To men that's not obvious because there is a fear of feminism; they want access and they're not used to being denied access."

## THE WRETCHED OF THE EARTH, OR, HOW THE GRINCH STOLE CHRISTMAS


by Jim Sheddin

Although I have few details at presstime, you've no doubt noticed a tree missing from the green. That's right: only at Innis College.

Last week, the Innis earlybirds noticed that the seven year old commemorative tree had been removed. The culprits left a trail of needles and branches, though, leading to a very local frat house.

No need to worry, though: Audrey Perry, our troubleshooter, caught them. Details will have to wait for next issue (or this week's *Varsity*) but rest assured: Innis's green will not be prey to thoughtless vandals again.

Watch this space in January.



## PLEASE ADVERTISE,... PLEASE





The Innis Herald is published monthly by the Innis College Student Society and printed at Walter Publishing Company Ltd. The opinions expressed herein are attributable only to their authors. Letters to the editor should be addressed to The Editor, The Innis Herald, Innis College, 2 Sumner Ave., Toronto, Ont. M5S 1J5



No room for a masthead quote this month.  
--Paul, Jim and Mike and everyone else  
connected with *The Herald*



## WHY THE U OF T SHOULD BLOW

*Innis Herald editorial from 1969. Plus ca change...*

We, the students of this bureaucratic, middle of the road, out of touch, and out of date campus have got nothing to be proud of, except our own apathy. This we have regimented to a very high degree and have cultured into a fine art. So we go to classes, and we take notes, and we gripe about what a big mess it is but in fact we put forth no concrete effort to create change. More than one observer of bureaucracies and large institutions has observed that change from within the structure is almost impossible unless the greater proportion of the members of that institution are involved in the promotion of the change, but looking at ourselves one can only see a fragmented will to work.

Those students on our campus intent upon action find only frustration as a reward for the steps that they take on

behalf of their static colleagues. The whole set up is a drag. The griping continues to grow, half-hearted efforts at small changes die in the womb. Attempts for overt action and cries for attention are labeled radical, communist, fascist, irresponsible, etc. but the griping doesn't stop there. The apathy of students is so over-developed that you can see it in their own daily lives. The same old dances at the same time of year, with the same chics from the same unenlightened residences. The whole structure is thirty years behind itself if not more. The people who predict total destruction and the complete loss of our educational system can always find me sitting on the sideline waving my flag. I'm sure I will enjoy the whole thing and I predict a better something out of the nothing that we have now. So let the U of T blow!

Editors  
Managing Editor  
News Edit  
Review Editor  
Sports Editor  
Photo Guys  
Rock Video Editor  
Goddess From Heaven  
Vlad Editor  
Women's Issues Editor  
Baritone  
Jim Sheddin  
Paul Della Penna  
Mike Zryd  
David Young  
Carla MacDonald  
Ellen Ladowsky  
Richard Lautens  
David Marcovitz  
Pierre Blum  
Michelle Bailly  
Ken Sarner  
Ceci Leigh  
Andrew Liebmann

Thanks:  
Art Wilson, Vicky Zeltins, David Reeve, Simon Cotter, Sirje Jarvel, Gilles Poiras, Simon's groupies, Jacques Derrida, Betty Flintstone, Paul's gum machine, The Varsity (our only fans!).

**HELP, WE'RE SHRINKING!!!!!!**

## REPORT CARD

Hey, it's assessment time. I never thought I'd do this but there ya go...Infantile credit-mongers like ICSS and Herald staff need ego-petting from time to time.

Let's not talk about these things: the really bad layout mistakes last time; the defaulting of the innertube waterpolo team; the poor-turn-out at Mincirva's Owl(which will change this Wednesday); the staffless and mostly studentless volleyball nongame; the lack of student attendance at Monte Carlo night (oh, sure, there were some, but not all 1000); the sometimes less-than-packed film nights in Town Hall; the boring football equipment "debates"; and so on.

Contrary to what some people are saying, the ICSS has been just fab this year. Office hours have been more or less kept; meeting have been regularly and efficiently run; etc etc. And, although you'll hear cries from some spirit creeps about Art Wilson's too-laid-back attitude, they're wrong. I mean, who wants a twenty-four hour cheerleader? The college doesn't need a political smoothie: it needs approachable, available (every day,

ignorant cries from an uninformed Vlad student notwithstanding) Art -- the kind of guy who sits around the pub drinking beer during SAC leadership conferences. At least we know he has perspective.

Our interim social rep has no memory so he'll tell you that there were no bad parties last year. Yes there were: I was at two of them. I have a better memory and can attest to the fact that there haven't been any losers this year. They'd be a whole lot better, though, if people weren't too cool to show up before 11:00 but, well, that's life at trendy Innis College. You know what this means? No more free admission before 10:00 (or 9:00) bullshit.

The *Herald*? Well, it's hard to be objective so I won't be. It's been the best year ever, if you discount the radical drop in quality of sports and news articles since last year. To be fair, there has been some dissent expressed concerning our layout but it hasn't been very intelligent so I'll just ignore it.

You want details? Criticisms? Zryd-style fairness? Cotter-style guest editorials? Tough.

Merry Christmas patient readers.

*hey fuckheads*

**PLEASE ADVERTISE,  
LETTER(S) ...PLEASE**

The Innis Herald has an open letters policy. Please ensure that letters are typed (double spaced), signed (with telephone number) and free from sexist, racist, homophobic, agist, libellous or just plain dumb content; letters may be edited or rejected on these grounds or under length. Opinions expressed in letters, like all submissions, are attributable only to their authors; no liability is attached to the Innis Herald, the Innis College Student Society or to the publisher.

## DOWN WITH SIMON

As president of the I.C.S.S. it is my duty and privilege to correct some of the glaring inaccuracies in Simon Cotter's guest editorial from last issue. The corrections are listed in the order in which the mistakes appeared, and are numbered for clarity.

1. Regarding lockers. There are 12 as yet unsold, a vast number to be sure. The statement that locker sale hours were not set until the second week of school is completely false as lockers were on sale most of the time during registration. Semantically the statement is correct as "hours" per se were not set. We merely had someone selling lockers virtually all day during that week.

2. In that same paragraph he stated that we "often" did not have anyone present during the advertised sale period". The fact of the matter is that Sirje Jarvel missed one day due to a doctor's appointment (I arrived half an hour after the sale was to begin and sold lockers for the remainder of the advertised time). I was one half hour late on one day; I have no legitimate excuse. So out of a total of at least ten days of sales after registration week, with 2 to 3 hours of sales on each day, we were a half an hour late on two occasions.

I pointed these inaccuracies out to Mr. Cotter, who was kind enough to allow me to read his article before publishing. His response was that he had been asked about lockers a few times during registration week when they had not been on sale.

Taking a few to mean 3 or 4, I pointed out that this was mere coincidence, and that we were indeed selling lockers most of the time. If Mr. Cotter had even the most basic knowledge of statistics he would realize that a sample size of 3 or 4 has virtually no statistical significance. As a remedy I suggest he enroll in STA242. He also noted that being late twice out of ten days of sales meant missing 40% of sales times; I suggest MAT 135 as a remedy.

3. Again in the same paragraph (ENG100Y suggested), Mr. Cotter went on to talk about the budget. He states that we "presented an unbalanced budget for the first time in memorable Innis history". This statement is partially correct. The budget is in deficit (on paper this amounts to \$914.00). This deficit is being covered by the surplus accumulated two years ago, and is perfectly legal. I have no qualms about bringing down a budget with a small deficit as this amounts to spending 10% of the surplus, hardly a one year blow out. I felt that I could not eliminate the budget deficit without seriously cutting services as we have high fixed costs and a small college size. If we assume that Mr. Cotter's statement is entirely true, it should be realized that this is the first time in recent memory that we have faced a large surplus - I am ignoring last year as the government spent most of the year trying to decide how they thought the money should be spent. The major use of the surplus last year was to cover student government inadequacies in the 20/20 campaign, and creating a residence fund, and thus there would be no precedent for our actions. Also, we are governing this college as we see fit, and care little about past precedents to justify our actions. As it happens the statement is dead wrong anyway. Scott Keyworth, last year's



INNIS COLLEGE PUB 2 Sussex Ave.,  
Toronto



WED DEC. 4 \$2.00 cover  
8:30 pm. FULLY LICENSED

treasurer, informed me that the net budget was over \$36,000 last year. Revenue to the I.C.S.S. this year amounts to slightly over \$33,000. This would imply that last year had a deficit budget, however as I have no financial records for last year I cannot verify this. Also in 1980-81 a previously accumulated surplus was incorporated in the years budget, as we have done this year.

4. Still on the budget, and specifically the pre-budget meeting. While it is true that no budgets had been submitted for Farm, Clubs, and Education, we, the executive feel that this was likely due to the fact that these positions were filled shortly before the meeting (the clubs position was vacant), and the reps had insufficient time to plan their year. We solved the problem by allocating approximately the same amount of money to them as last year, on the approval of the reps involved.

5. The meeting was not held in a rush. Mr. Cotter claims this information came from Heather Evans, treasurer. Heather states that this is completely untrue. Clearly, Mr. Cotter has been creatively interpreting the facts to suit his wants.

6. The fact that the secretary was not present is completely irrelevant. She was not asked to attend, as her presence would have served no purpose.

7. It seems that we regard organization to be our biggest problem. It is. The I.C.S.S. is fairly well organized this year, but I feel that organization is our largest problem (weakest virtue?). However, the notion that no one will take any responsibility for our weakness is ludicrous. I did not point the finger at any one person because no one person is to blame. The executive is made up of many people our organization could be better, we all share the blame.

8. The SAC leadership debacle. Mr. Cotter questioned me on my reasons for missing the conference, and I explained at some length, that I felt that generally conferences of this type were a waste of time - example last year's athletic conference in Kingston. Sirje Jarvel wanted to attend as did Jane Lautens. They considered attending to be a privilege rather than a chore, and thus would gain far more from the conference than I would have. I explained that I felt it was important to have an I.C.S.S. representative there, and Sirje filled that need admirably. Both Sirje and Jane indicated that the conference was worthwhile, in their opinion, so perhaps I was mistaken not to attend. But as reports on the conference are forthcoming, the effect of my absence will be minimal.

To sum up my statements with the phrase "...opting to drink beer on the green rather than attend..." is dishonourable. Clearly Mr. Cotter had every intent of doing a hatchet job. It was a contemptible act.

The most annoying aspect of the whole situation is Mr. Cotter's complete disregard for the facts. I pointed out some of the fallacies in the article before it went to print but he had no intention of correcting them. If he wishes to write fictitious editorials in future I would greatly appreciate it he would fake the interview as well, rather than wasting my time acquiring factual information.

Art Wilson  
President

THE LITTLE PAPER  
THAT GOT LITTler

## SLUSHBALL

by Vicky Zellins

A day that everyone remembers-the first snow of the season. With all the wet snow, the football field was like a combination skating rink and mudbath, but that didn't deter the Div II All-stars from tackling the Div I All-stars in a fun-filled and downright exciting football game.

The Div II quarterback, Phil Tzmis from Dents, scored the only touchdown of the game early in the first half. We were on our way to victory. By half-time the snow had stopped falling but the fun wasn't over yet. The Innis crowd, which comprised two-thirds of the spectators, enjoyed a snow-ball fight and then cheered on Rob Sills from New College as he scored a field goal for Div II and then our very own Simon Cotter scored two points with a safety touch. This brought the victorious Div II total to 12 and leaving Div I with 0.

Commenting after the game, one spectator said watching "Bunny Rabbit" Cotter run and tackle was worth the cold weather. Coach Martin was proud of his team and afterwards, spirit and good cheer filled the Inniscave as both teams celebrated together. The Div I All-stars were noted for their excellent defence and, as their coach was heard to say, their "lack of practice" helped them. Outstanding jobs were done Fred Jove, Dean Fox, and Steve Fair, who played both ways. "Any rumour," said the Div I coach, "that there is an ability factor between the divisions is wrong. Both divisions have their share of good and bad players and today's All-star game proved it. Div II's defence could not be beat."

The Div II All-stars were prepared mentally as well as physically, showing great team spirit and showmanship. Special mentions to running backs Mike Hugo and Ian James (both of Innis) and Dave Clegg of UC who ran very aggressively despite the weather. Congrats to the team for a job well done.

## DOWN WITH MIKE AND ANDRE

Re: "Money for Nothing". I am writing to express my disapproval and disappointment in the anti-football letter written by Mike Zryd and Andre Czegledy which appeared in the last *Herald*. My disapproval stems from the sin omission practiced by Mr. Zryd and Mr. Czegledy. They state that their main objection to the procedure was that it was "pushed through" unconstitutionally because written notice of the proposed expenditure was not submitted one meeting in advance. What they fail to mention was that verbal notice was given at the budget meeting of the football team's desire to draw \$1600 from the surplus and that the expenditures for the computer, the printer and the stereo speaker were also purchased without constitutional notice. This was because no one, including the President, Art Wilson, knew of this clause. Anyhow, notice for the football expenditure was given to VP Government Sirje Jarvel 10 days prior to the meeting to make sure that it was properly advertised on the agenda and Art Wilson was notified six days before the meeting. As far as anyone knew at the time this more than met the constitutional guidelines.

The second objection these conscientious objectors raised was the fact that as two athletics reps they had not been notified of the motion prior to the day of the meeting when they finally checked the agenda. As Co-ed athletic rep, Andre Czegledy deserves no more special notice of the motion than the farm rep (or anyone else) (because tackle football is not part of his portfolio). As for Mr. Zryd, although tackle football is part of his portfolio he had made quite clear to me

# SPORTS

## WOMEN'S HOCKEY

by Vicky Zellins

The Innis/UC women's ice hockey team is a strong, skilled squad this year. Our strengths are a great coach, Leejim, a great goalie, Sheila, and (when they're there) spirited hockey players. The team won their last game 6-0 with 3 goals scored by Melanie from Innis, 2 by Judy from UC, and 1 by Rachel, also from UC. The season resumes in January. All women are welcome. Just bring your skates and join us at Varsity Area for a championship season.

## MEN'S ATHLETICS

by Mike Zryd

Dear Boys,

Sports. We got 'em. Hockey. 6 wins. 0 losses. 1 tie. Defending champs. Look good. But. Remember Football. Don't blow it. Volleyball. 0 wins. 2 losses. Tough losses. Now? And a team with only 5 players? Practice. 7 a.m. Thursday. Be there. Still the best men's team in four years. Basketball. Smartest and best looking team at U of T. Best dressed too. Fans? Think again. Winning? 5 wins. 1 loss. 2nd place. Practice? Maybe. Squash? Mystery team. Mike Harburn keeps the charges fired up. Way to go, Mike. Curling? Swim Team? Nope. Bad Timing.

Next term? Hockey? Basketball? Look good. Volleyball? Rebuilds. Squash? Yep. Waterpolo starts. Div I. Promising. Want to play? Talk to Dave. Marcovitz. Broomball. Badminton. Raquetball.

when he said "I don't want to hear anything more about football" that he was tired of arguing about the budget. Since the \$1000 was to be drawn from the surplus and had no bearing on the Athletic budget, Mr. Zryd did not need to know or apparently want to know anything more about tackle football.

Although the pair admits that women are allowed to participate in contact football they claim the nature of the sport excludes women (they wouldn't dare have said this if Marissa was still here). The fact is as many women play tackle football as play men's soccer, men's volleyball or men's basketball - none.

The really disappointing thing is that, although Mike and Andre concede that the football team "needs the equipment" and that it is a "good investment", they give their reason for their incessant protests as protecting the rights of all Innis athletes. Yet, they were the only two arguing against tackle football at the ICSS meeting and, despite their attempts to scuttle the motion, the football team received its money with a 19-4 majority. I rescot these two representing themselves and their beliefs as a popular movement which obviously does not exist. I have fought and lost many motions which I passionately believe in at ICSS meetings; however my respect for the democratic process has always held me back from shoving my opinion down other people's throats after the vote is cast. I hope in the future Mr. Zryd and Mr. Czegledy will cut it out.

Simoo Cotter  
Football Rep

(Can't you guys just kiss and make up? -eds.)



# SHOOT YOUR WAD

by David Reeve

Ahhh.... finally some wad-shot! Just when I was beginning to think nobody cared, in came three letters to prove me wrong. One was loaded with hostility, and one was loaded with octopi; however, because of a severe space limitation this issue, the editors suggested I only respond to the third which is loaded with existentialism.

"There is however, another school of thought which challenges the theory of determinism. It attempts to place determinism into the context of it's own reason, to put it into the larger perspective of man himself. This is existentialism. It states that there is one being in whom existence precedes essence, a being who exists before he can be defined by any concept, and that this being is man, or, as Heidegger says, human reality. What is meant here by saying that existence precedes essence? It means that, first of all, man exists, turns up, appears on the scene, and, only afterwards, defines himself. Thus, any concept of human existence is only a creation of man himself. Furthermore, any circumstances that existed before man, circumstances implied in determinism, did not themselves exist until man arrived at a suitable conception of himself to begin with. Not only is man what he conceives himself to be, but he is also only what he wills himself to be after thrust toward existence. This very will itself denies deterministic criticism because it is existence, something which is extra-human and undefinable. Man is nothing else but what he makes of himself. This is the first principle of existentialism and it is commonly referred to as subjectivity. If existence does precede essence, there is no explaining things away by reference to a

fixed and given human nature. In other words, there is no determinism, man is truly free, man is freedom. Man is alone, with no excuses."

Bunny Thrasher

Unfortunately, this begs the whole damn question. Nevertheless, I will respond and hopefully clarify.

What Bunny seems to mean by "essence" is self-definition. In other words, man turns up and eventually comes to a definition of himself. So what? We observe and label and eventually define. We do this all the time to everything we come across. But definitions are only descriptions, they don't create that which they describe. The entity described always exists before the description. "... any concept of human existence is only a creation of man himself" is an obvious truism if you realize that it is the "concept" that is the creation of man, and not actual human existence which is due to all the factors that came to result in human existence.

Perhaps the point Bunny is trying to make is that by describing ourselves in any particular way, this definition comes to change us to being that way - sort of a self-fulfilling prophecy. By saying I'm free I'll now think I'm free and so I will be free. Horse pucky! The infinite chain of causation does not let go its omnipotent grip because we decide it doesn't exist. I may think "I'm free," and this may change my behaviour, but the thought "I am free" and the resultant behaviour change are both just links in the causal chain of determinism. Having the thought "I am free" is as much determined as having the thought "I am not free," and the same goes for the ensuing behaviour.

Bunny is then unclear (to me anyway) until the sentence "This very will itself denies deterministic criticism because it is existence, something which is extra-human and is undefinable". Oh, yes, the old "factor-X" or "God" argument; kinda makes us all just a little bit proud to be human now don't it! We got that little extra je n'ai sait pas to keep us different from the rest of the universe. Well, he who asserts must prove. And if it's just faith that makes you say that Bunny you shouldn't be arguing on the basis of reason. If I have faith that flying pink elephants exist, that belief is beyond reason and so rational argument re: flying pink elephants is useless. But I digress.

The final interesting point Bunny ties to make is that responsibility does not entail guilt and anxiety. He/she says that guilt and anxiety are the result of irresponsibility. But, my furry floppy-eared friend, if responsibility does not exist then neither does irresponsibility, ipso facto. Perhaps he/she means that doing bad things makes one anxious and guilty. But what I'm saying is that you need the feeling of responsibility to form the bridge between the action and the feeling guilty or anxious about it. However, I'm not advocating guilt-free "badness". I believe guilt and anxiety are causes of badness, and by alleviating them many of the world's problems will disappear.

That's all the room we have this issue. Thank-you Bunny and others for your letters. As always, all submissions should be put in the brown envelope hanging by room 305, Innis College anytime within a couple weeks from when you read this.

Till next time.

# THE MALE VOICE



Dear Simon,

I'm a macho stud. Rumour has it that you've been calling yourself a macho stud. There can be only one macho stud in this college. What are we going to do about this?

Signed,  
Pistols at dawn.

Dear Pis,

I was of course surprised at your claim because of course this college is only big enough for one macho stud. That is why I had your letter analysed by a handwriting specialist. Nice try, tinkerbell! I hear the food at New is good!

Signed,  
Genuine Macho Stud



Dear Simon,

Why must you insist on being such a boorish, insensitive, sexist slime? Are you so insecure about your own masculinity that you have to pose as a rugged macho stud? You pig, I hate you.


Love,  
M.Z.

Dear Leftist Leaning Liberal Artsie Wimp,

I'm as sensitive as the next guy, you limp-wristed pantie waist. That is, if the next guy is Rambo! I'm sorry if I'm not one of your 60's men (and I use the term loosely) that wears an earring, changes a diaper, cries, and writes a book about it! It's people like you that keep changing their definition of masculinity that are insecure about it. I prefer to stay macho classic!

Have a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year, Hugs and Kisses.  
Simon

# THE POP SCENE: FREE ACID



by Pierre Blum

Now that I have your attention.

The hate mail is nowhere to be found. I try to be obnoxious, racist, sexist, homophobic, and libellous in this rock video column and no one is offended. No one even cares.

The message is clear. You agree with my views. How could it be otherwise? Silence implies consent. Now I know you're all as offensive and twisted as I am. And frankly, I'm pissed off because of it. I want you to be mad when you read this column - mad as hell and not willing to take it anymore.

So what am I to do? You like to read

offensive rock video reviews, and under the pretense that these would offend one and all, I keep writing them, not knowing until now that you are sicker than I originally thought.

So, armed with this new discovery, I present the video review (singular, this is a four page paper, after all):

John Cougar Melonhead - Small Towns - This is lovely. Caring and generous. Beautiful. It brought tears to my eyes. I wanted to bear its children. I love it. It's so nice.

There you go. I hope you hated this review. Now go write a letter to the editor. Or better yet, fuck off and die.

**ICSS MEETINGS? WE GOT 'EM. THIS WEEK TOO! DEC. 5 (TWENTY DAYS BEFORE CHRISTMAS), 3:00 PM IN THE COLD ROOM. HOST? --SIRJE JARVEL.**

**NEXT DEADLINE: JAN 14, 1986. WE'VE GOT ROOM THIS TIME!**

**FUZZ SAY  
NO ROOM FOR ME  
THIS MONTH**

